

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

INCOME TAX REFERENCE NO. 158 OF 1994

For Approval of Signature :

Hon'ble MR. JUSTICE B.C. PATEL and
MR. JUSTICE R.R. JAIN

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed
to see the judgments ?

2. To be referred to the Report or not ?

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy
of the judgment ?

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question
of law as to the interpretation of the
Constitution of India, 1950 or any Order made
thereunder ?

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil
Judge ?

Appearance :

M/s. M.R. Bhatt & Co., Advocates for the Applicant.
Respondent Served.

Coram : B.C. Patel & R.R. Jain, JJ.

Date of Decision : 11th July, 1996

Oral Judgment : (Per B.C. Patel, J.)

At the instance of the Revenue, the following
question is referred to this Court under Section 256 (1)
of the Income Tax Act, 1961 :-

"Whether, the Appellate Tribunal is right in law
and on facts in directing the ITO not to
deduct the amount of subsidy from the cost of
assets while calculating depreciation and
investment allowance ?"

2. The Tribunal decided the controversy following
the decision of this Court in the case of CIT Vs. Grace
Paper Industries Pvt. Ltd. reported in (1990) 183 ITR
591. This case is also covered by the decision of the
Apex Court in the case of CIT Vs. P.J. Chemicals,

reported in 210 ITR 830. Hence, the question is required to be answered against the Revenue and in favour of the Assessee. Accordingly, we answer the question in favour of the Assessee and against the Revenue. This reference is disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.
